

## Notice of Modification: Cleavelands Medical Centre

11<sup>th</sup> December 2025 – 9<sup>th</sup> January 2026

Consultees	Comments
	<p>I wish to comment on this modification and to make it known that I object to modification 3. There is already parking behind both the medical centre and the shops. An additional limited waiting time will continue to exacerbate the issue of cars parked along Sapphire Road blocking visibility for pedestrians trying to cross the road. I find this particularly troubling when my children wish to go to the shops. The access would be much safer if double yellow lines were in force on both sides of the road.</p> <p>Many thanks</p>
County Councillor	<p>Ref:5227-107</p> <p>Good Morning,</p> <p>I am the councillor for Severn Vale division and have been raising the unadopted road on Sapphire Way and asking for updates. Unless I have missed an email response I do not believe I have had any updates on this but it is something residents have been raising with me and my predecessor (this area was previously in Bishop's Cleeve division) as it is of high importance to the residents in the community. Our MP XXXXX was also contacted about this road and wrote to GCC Highways about it, I am not sure if he has received any updates or response either?</p> <p>Last night residents posted images from the notice on a lamp post and whilst I am pleased there is some action, I am also quite frustrated that I am finding out about things happening in my division either from Facebook or lamp posts, rather than being informed as the local councillor and given the opportunity to raise any questions ahead of time and to help GCC in explaining and communicating the proposals.</p> <p>I appreciate some members may not be interested in everything that happens in their divisions but I genuinely am very interested and I have been writing for updates and raising this road so I would really have appreciated having foresight of these latest proposals and an opportunity to tell my residents this was coming, given that they have been calling for it for so long and chasing me, it now appears as if I do not care.</p> <p>The proposed changes are not fully welcomed, whilst I am pleased to see the extension of no waiting area, the acceptance of a short term parking area at the front is likely to cause some challenges for residents navigating the road. I appreciate it may be viewed as a speed calming measure so I would appreciate any explanation of the plans that I can then talk through with residents.</p>

	<p>Kind Regards</p>
	<p>Good morning,</p> <p>Further to the amendment to the restrictions, I would plead with you to remove (i) of the update &amp; re-instate the NWAAT along Cartmel Drive. I originally requested this was increased to double yellow lines and a Keep Clear hatching to ease access to the Medical Centre, so to take off even the double yellows I'm sorry to say is a horrible idea. There is a junction on that stretch, and the number of cars that park alongside the carpark and around the bends up to the entrance seriously impede safe access to the Medical Centre. So, as a matter of safety and access the No Parking At Any Times restrictions at least MUST be imposed.</p> <p>Please consider this request, as it was originally the plan and so must be do-able, there was just some strange decision to reverse.</p>
	<p>Hi</p> <p>Generally supportive of the parking restriction plans apart from the 3 hour limited waiting section. I firmly believe double yellow lines should be introduced along the entire frontage of the shops. There is a suitable car park with disabled spaces next to the shops. Having a limited waiting section will just encourage people to park in the road continuing the current issues with limited visibility, dangerous driving and blocking of the view of the road for pedestrians when crossing.</p> <p>Regards</p>
	<p>Id like to object to the parking restrictions proposed at the Cleavelands center on the grounds that my staff who work longer than 8 hours (all of them) will be left with nowhere to park. What are you proposing as an alternative for them?</p>
	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I am writing to share my objections for the proposed parking restrictions on Sapphire Road, Bishops Cleeve. Reference 5227-107</p> <p>I work on the estate at one of the businesses that were put here to bring people to the community.</p> <p>If you go ahead with the proposed plan, there will be nowhere for those of us who work in the businesses along Sapphire Road to park, meaning I would be forced to park in residential streets. Unfortunately there is no way of me getting to work without driving.</p> <p>It is also limiting the ability to bring clients into the area - as they have nowhere else to park - again forcing people onto residential streets.</p>

	<p>I think you need to look at alternatives for your proposed plans - rather than removing our ability to park safely outside our place of work.</p> <p>I also work in a XXXXX - we have all discussed the fact that if we can't park outside of work, we do not feel safe to walk around the area in the dark to our cars - would you be planning to add more police patrols to the area to ensure our safety?</p> <p>Kind regards</p>
	<p>Hi there,  I recently received a modification notice about having double yellow lines put down.  I am just wondering if it would be possible for the double yellow lines to be extended and put down outside my house as well for XXXX.  I have attached a photo and draw the line on.  I look forward to your response.</p>
	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I can writing to share my objections for the proposed parking restrictions on Sapphire Road, Bishops Cleeve. Reference 5227-107</p> <p>I work on the estate and at one of the businesses that were put here to bring people to the community.</p> <p>If you go ahead with the proposed plan, there will be nowhere for those of us who work in the businesses along Sapphire Road to park, meaning I would be forced to park in residential streets. Unfortunately there is no way of me getting to work without driving - the public transport system fails rural communities in which I live.</p> <p>It is also limiting the ability to bring clients into the area - as they have nowhere else to park - again forcing people onto residential streets.</p> <p>I think you need to look at alternatives for your proposed plans - rather than removing our ability to park safely outside our place of work.</p> <p>I also work in a XXXXX - we have all discussed the fact that if we can't park outside work we do not feel safe to walk around the area in the dark to our cars - would you be planning to add more police patrols to the area to ensure our safety?</p> <p>Kind regards</p>
<p>Bishops Cleeve Parish Council</p>	<p>Good afternoon,</p>

	<p>With reference to the above proposed TRO, Bishop's Cleeve Parish Council fully support this proposal, but is concerned that it may not be enforceable, given the limited number of police and complete lack of parking enforcement officers in the area.</p> <p>Kind Regards</p>
	<p>To the Traffic Management Team,</p> <p>I am writing to formally object to the proposed modification under reference 5227-107, specifically the introduction of limited waiting and no-waiting restrictions on Sapphire Road, on safety grounds relating to displaced parking.</p> <p>I live on the Cleevelands development in Bishops Cleeve, on the XXXXX opposite XXXXXXXX.</p> <p>During previous road works in the area, when parking was restricted further up Sapphire Road, vehicles displaced and parked along this bend. As a result, cars were frequently forced onto the wrong side of the road while negotiating the curve.</p> <p>This created a dangerous situation which I personally witnessed on multiple occasions, including several near-miss incidents. The most significant risk occurs when vehicles exit Cape Ruby Close and turn left onto Sapphire Road, only to be met by oncoming traffic already on the wrong side of the road due to parked vehicles on the bend. Forward visibility is limited, and the risk of collision is obvious and predictable.</p> <p>The current proposal risks recreating this exact scenario. While restrictions may improve conditions closer to Evesham Road, they do not remove parking demand and instead displace it to a location where parking is wholly unsuitable due to curvature, visibility and proximity to a junction.</p> <p>This conflicts with established highway safety principles, including the avoidance of parking on bends and near junctions where sight lines are compromised.</p> <p>The estate is a relatively new development, completed in 2017, and suffers from limited visitor parking by design. This is a known constraint and should be accounted for when implementing traffic regulation measures, to avoid unintentionally creating new hazards elsewhere on the network.</p> <p>I am not objecting to the principle of parking controls. However, I strongly believe that if the proposed restrictions on Sapphire Road proceed, double yellow lines should also be introduced on the bend opposite Cape Ruby Close to prevent displaced parking and mitigate the safety risk described above. I ask that this objection be formally considered as part of the modification consultation.</p> <p>Finally, for ease of reference, I have attached an annotated aerial image of Sapphire Road and the junction with Cape Ruby Close.</p> <p>This shows where vehicles parked when parking was previously displaced from further up Sapphire Road (yellow line), the resulting narrowing of the carriageway on the bend, and the conflict created with vehicles exiting Cape Ruby Close (red line).</p> <p>The image also marks the location from which multiple near-miss incidents were witnessed.</p>

	<p>This illustrates how the proposed restrictions, without additional controls on the bend, are likely to displace parking into a location that creates a clear and foreseeable collision risk.</p> <p>Kind regards,</p>
--	--