

# Highways Maintenance Potential Scheme Engineer's Assessment

Date Passed to Assessor:			Assessor:	A. B. S. A. S.								
Date of assessment:	23.3.2017											
Potential Scheme Name:	A435 TENTERWELL TO CHELTENHAM											
Location:	B57000 TENTERWELL TO CHELTENHAM B CULVERT ROAD CLOSURE											
Road: ID	401/00658	401/00675	401/00657	401/00656	(Full Romis ID)							
Description of scheme:	A435 TENTERWELL TO BISHOPS CULVERT											
Recommended Start point:	TENTERWELL PLATEAU (INCLUDE PLATEAU)											
Recommended End point:	NEW PLATEAU LIGHTS BISHOPS CULVERT											
CDM Constraints	<input type="checkbox"/>	Events include geometry, accesses, schools, shops, traffic sigs/calming etc										
CDM Constraints (if so why):												
<b>Condition Assessment</b>	Scores: 1 Good 2 Fair 3 Poor 4 Bad 5 Very Bad 6 Severe											
Overall c/way condition:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	<input type="checkbox"/>	Poor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bad	<input type="checkbox"/>	Very Bad	<input type="checkbox"/>	Severe
CWay Defect Rating 1 to 6 (good to severe)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cracking	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ride Quality (bumps)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Edge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Structural	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Texture		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Fretting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Old Utility reinstatements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	New reinstatements (under guaranteed)						
Haunching Required:	Y / N		How much sqm?:		%L	%R						
Overall footway condition:	<input type="checkbox"/>	Good	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fair	<input type="checkbox"/>	Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>	Bad	<input type="checkbox"/>	Very Bad	<input type="checkbox"/>	Severe
Fway surface	<input type="checkbox"/>	Bitmac	<input type="checkbox"/>	Block	<input type="checkbox"/>	Flag	<input type="checkbox"/>	Concrete				
Footway Defect Rating 1 to 6 (good to severe)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fretting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cracking/Trip Hazards	<input type="checkbox"/>	Subsidence or overriding	<input type="checkbox"/>	Utility reinstatement problems				
Proposed Treatment:	STRUCTURAL PAVING BISINDE CLOSURE SURFACE CLOSURE											
Estimated SQM	90950			Estimated cost		£2354853						
Recommend scheme?	Y / N		Engineer's site score (1 to 6)			5						
Site score comprises condition, road category, user levels, presence of bus routes etc												
Programme?	<input type="checkbox"/>	5 years	<input type="checkbox"/>	3 Year	<input type="checkbox"/>	2 Year	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	next year				

## Other site considerations

Traffic Management required: Road closure

Drainage Issues:

Iron work/kerbing/drive access and other topography issues:

Lining or signage issues:

Pictures taken: Y / N

If so where kept:

## CDM Initial Risk Assessment

Potential risk items	Tick if relevant
Significant pedestrian activity?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Adjacent to school/hospital/shops or other sensitive area	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS'S
Access required to adjacent premises?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Within 30m of a controlled crossing?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Overhead cables?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Bus route?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Will a road closure be necessary?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Retaining walls/fences/bridge or other structures requiring special attention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> n/a
Trees or other overhanging vegetation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Railway crossing?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



