

Common rejection library + “Would this stand up in court?”

Printable. Use the library to diagnose, and the final check to confirm.

Common rejection library

☐	No chronology / no threshold evidence.
☐	Generic support; no dates or names.
☐	Missing/invalid signatures
☐	Hearsay instead of fact; narrative missing.
☐	Exhibits not labelled or referenced.
☐	No escalation reasoning; written for the school, not the court.
☐	Feedback not actioned (declined by third review).
☐	Red-line case submitted (below threshold, not compulsory age, PN before NTI, Looked After Child).

Would this stand up in court?

☐	Remove the exhibits: does the statement still tell the whole story?
☐	Offence proven, chronology clear, escalation justified?
☐	Every line fact, not opinion or hearsay?
☐	Names, dates, signatures present; redaction protects without removing evidence?