

Notice of Proposal: Abbeydale Waiting Restrictions

Kimberland Way:

Consultees	Comments
	Hello, I have just seen the proposal to introduce waiting and stopping restrictions on parts of Kimberland Way and the Plantation in Abbeymead. I object to the plans as detailed in the posted maps. This needs more thought not least the impact on the surrounding roads if these restrictions are imposed in their current form. x xxxx on the Plantation and already have concerns about the on road parking here and this is likely to be exacerbated further if restrictions are imposed on neighboring roads but not thought about for the Plantation . I would ask you to review the current situation, particularly dangerous parking on the Plantation around the traffic calming adjacent to XXXXX The Plantation - because vehicles (particularly large vehicles) often park next to the traffic calming, access to xxx drive is restricted and traffic struggles to get past - it would be impossible at times for emergency vehicles to get past. Another consideration is children seeking to cross the road to access the park and playground on the other side of the road. I have included a phot to illustrate my point. Thank you.
	Hi, With regard to the proposals to introduce parking restrictions around Kimberland Way, I am supportive of the proposal. The parking on Kimberland Way has made entry/exit to the Clock Tower Park area hazardous for a long time and having some sort of parking restriction introduced here is a much-needed improvement. While you are doing this work, it may also be worth considering introducing parking restrictions on the western side of Dancers Hill, for the first 10 metres following the traffic calming measure, where the cycle path crosses between Dancers Hill and The Oaks. x xxxx xx one of the houses that has a driveway that exits just down from the traffic calming measure and pulling out onto Dancers Hill is hazardous as is it a 'blind' exit-way on to the road (obscured by the trees on the eastern side) and while the traffic calming measure does help to slow the speed of cars on the road, some drivers do still take the traffic calming measure at

	high speed and I have had a number of close misses when exiting the xxxxxxxx. If cars are parked opposite the xxxxxxxx, it can be impossible to make a turn into the road in one go and so you can be in a situation where you are doing a multi-point turn and a car approaches from the Oaks direction and only sees you in the middle of the road at the last minute. Having some double yellow lines in the region opposite the driveway exit would help to reduce this risk. The road markings and signage around the traffic calming measure are also getting a bit old and less noticeable and refreshing them might help to increase drivers awareness of the hazard. While I am supportive of the Kimberland Road restrictions, I would worry that they will potentially displace the cars that park there at the moment, up on to the main Dancers Hill Road, leading to the potential for an increased hazard near the Oaks/Dancers Hill traffic calming measure. Regards,
--	--

Abbots Road:

Consultees	Comments
	Dear Sir This is a complete waste of time, effort and council tax payers money. I have lived on Abbots Road for over xx years and there has never been a problem with parking or so called 'sight lines'. It would be cheaper to make it a 20 mph zone rather than deprive residents and their visitors of parking space. And how much is it going to cost to enforce this? And another set of unnecessary traffic wardens ... GET ON AND FIX THE POTHOLE AND STOP WASTING OUR MONEY. Second email Hello again Further to my last email could I please add that you don't get rid of cars by putting down double yellow lines – you simply either create parking problems which don't exist at the moment or you shift what you conceive to be a problem just up the road.

First email

Hello

The Council is tasked to spend taxpayers' money in the most appropriate way.

I would like you to share with me some information on your plan that I can further share with fellow residents.

How much is this work going to cost us? You must know this.

How many accidents have there been in the last 5 years that could have been avoided if the proposed restrictions had been in place? You must know this too.

In short, what's the benefit of this based on history?

I've lived off Abbots Road for xx years and have never seen a problem that this is going to solve.

However, I do agree there may well be one or two small stretches of road where it does make sense. Why not just fix those rather than this belt and braces approach which will undoubtedly inconvenience many people for no reason whatsoever?

You also have to agree double yellow lines are ugly in residential settings.

How will this be enforced? Can we expect to see traffic wardens walking our residential roads on a daily basis like in towns?

Will fines be issued based on photo evidence submitted by residents?

This is all stuff that people want to know.

The information on the website is full of platitudes that tell us nothing really.

I need reassurance that this money is going to be money well spent and justifies being carried out when people are complaining about the awful state of our roads (potholes) and the damage that's being done to their vehicles as a result.

xxxx xxxxxxxx

Second email

I would like to formally object to the proposal that double yellow lines be put all around Abbots Road.

I have already asked for the following information which has not yet been received.

Who specifically has asked for this work to be done and on what basis?

How much is this work going to cost?

How many injuries or reportable incidents have there been in the last 5 years that could have been avoided if the proposed restrictions had been in place?

In short, what's the benefit of this based on history?

How will this be enforced? Can we expect to see traffic wardens walking our residential roads on a daily basis like in towns?

Will fines be issued based on photo evidence submitted by residents?

I've lived off Abbots Road for xx years and have rarely seen a problem that this is going to solve.

You're even proposing lines where I have NEVER seen a car parked...some examples

where Abbeymead Avenue and Abbots Road meet (right on the traffic lights!!)

where Abbots Road and Bishops Road meet

where Abbots Road turns into Friary Road and on the opposite side of the road

	right at the end of Trinity Road just past Forbes Close I understand that GCC has little money so what there is should only be spent on essential work which is a true benefit to the taxpayer. The roads around Gloucester are full of potholes and I'm sure most people would prefer to see those fixed rather than see valuable money spent on double yellow lines on a residential estate that has been around for 40 years with few problems. I agree that there may be one or two stretches of road that would justify yellow lines such as around Abbeymead Primary School but this heavy handed belt and braces approach is just ridiculous in my opinion. Regards xxxx xxxxxxxx PS And by the way your letter states responses to be sent by no later than noon on 29th February. Please remind your staff that there is no February 29th this year. This kind of clerical error does not show the Council in a good light.
	Dear Sirs I am writing to provide feedback on the proposed scheme. While I support the broader intent, the current design presents difficulties for our household (in blue on the image below). The proposal would eliminate the area (marked in red) currently used by the XXXX transport service to park whilst they collect our XXXXXX as well as family who visit to assist in the care of XXXX. In addition, on-street capacity is already strained by a neighbour with multiple vehicles (purple line), meaning our visitors are compelled to use the area marked in green. I propose a pragmatic solution that would allow the scheme to proceed without detriment to our access or use. I had previously considered applying for a dropped kerb (marked in pink) as our only access currently is as indicated by the yellow arrow which limits the use of our driveway considerably. I am aware that there is a road sign in the area that would have to be moved and there is also an area intended to be a pedestrian crossing albeit it is never used as such given the quiet nature of the area. I would specifically draw your attention to Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, which places a duty on the Authority to exercise its functions having regard to the 'desirability of securing and maintaining reasonable access to premises.' The proposed scheme, by removing the safe on-street collection point for XXXXXX, directly compromises this access. Under the Equality Act 2010, the Council has a duty to make 'reasonable adjustments' to ensure XXXXX access is not disadvantaged by these changes.

	Therefore, to resolve this conflict and maintain the integrity of your traffic scheme, I would request that the Council includes the construction of the dropped kerb (marked in pink) as part of the scheduled works. We understand the Council has the power to execute these works under Section 184 of the Highways Act 1980 and including this as a mitigation measure within the scheme would ensure you meet your statutory duties under the Equality Act while satisfying the network management objectives of the Traffic Management Act 2004. XXXXXX
	As a response to the above proposed order 5227-105, I would like to say that I see no reason whatsoever to implement such a scheme, if the commercial vehicles and caravans that are parked regularly in this area were removed as per the original restrictions that were placed when the properties were constructed, there would not be any issues at all. We live in Gloucester not Cheltenham which is being decimated by parking restrictions. No thank you. Regards
	Good evening i am writing regarding the proposed double yellow lines off abbotts road,Abbeymead i welcome these and feel they are long over due(though i know time,planning and money are always a factor) but would like to see the lines go further up on both sides of the road from abbeymead avenue into abbotts road. as this is the only way into this large estate it is very often troubled with cars parking on the roadside,from the main junction upto the T junction . kind regards
	Attention: Traffic management Team We would like to object to the proposed parking restrictions on the Abbots Road area (scheme ref – 5227-105) as we believe the proposed changes are a safety issue and will increase the potential for dangerous incidents to occur. We have lived at xx xxxxxxx since xxxx when purchased as a new build. To my knowledge there has only been 1 incident where a car going too fast turning from Abbots road into Trinity Road(possibly because no cars were parked to slow them at the time) crashed into the Trinity Road street sign. The major issue on the section outside our home is speed and lack of courtesy and patience by inconsiderate drivers not inconsiderate parking. The road is wide enough to allow parking, (so much so that we believe a marked parking bay could also be provided in the centre of the junction to allow for additional visitor parking.) Abbots' Road traffic has a clear view of the road and vehicles coming out of Trinity are required to stop at the junction and check it is safe to enter Abbots Road so would be able to

	clearly see any traffic on Abbots Road before pulling out . There are multiple examples of this in the city where the volume of traffic is a lot higher, and the highway code allows for parking at junctions in marked parking bays. This junction is the widest along all of Abbots road, yet the only one proposed to have yellow lines opposite! Provided you are going at an appropriate speed and are stopping at the junction coming from Trinity onto Abbots to check for traffic then it is perfectly safe as it is! If this proposal went ahead, I believe it would cause a potential increased hazard for pedestrians crossing Abbots Road as cars often fail to stop at the junction before proceeding onto Abbots road as it is , so if these measures are put in place, then further traffic calming and speed restrictions should also be put in place. On a personal level we are obviously also concerned about the fact that there will be no space for family / carer's/ friends/tradesmen to park when they visit us or our close neighbours around this junction as you have yellow lines on both sides of the road outside our home (our corner is the only junction where this is the case so question what rational you are using to suggest this?) Also why is the proposal of yellow lines all the way from Trinity junction to Minster Gardens? Regulations state no parking 10M from a junction, yet you propose yellow lines a far greater distance than this stretching all the way from Trinity Road to Minster gardens where there is only one driveway and a footpath which is set well back from the road so parking should be allowed either side of the driveway XXXXX as currently. As previously stated vehicles traveling along Abbots Rd. have a good view so no good reason for these restrictions. We are not aware of any issues faced by binmen or emergency services in all the years we have lived here so would be interested to hear why this is being raised as an issue now. We would also like to question the cost implications of the work involved painting the lines then extra staffing to monitor and enforce them. In these difficult financial times, we are surprised that the council has spare money to waste on such unnecessary projects. xxxxx xxxxxxxx / xxxxxxx xxxxxxxx The council is already @£20 million in debt and with all the potholes along Abbeymead avenue this money could be better used. As a freedom of information request we would like to know the cost of this proposed work, the cost of any enforcement. What safety issues have been reported and by whom and are the complaints if any are from people who won't have parking from outside their homes. Regards
--	---

Roman Road:

Consultees	Comments
	Hi, Really pleased to see that restrictions are being put in place on Roman Road Abbeymead but could the lines also be painted opposite the junctions further along the road too? To only put double yellows as far down as just past Valerian Way will simply move the problem down the road- namely opposite Fosse Close and Tribune Place which is also a danger.

	Thank you
	Ref: 5227-105 To whom it may concern, Please could you confirm whether stopping restrictions will be applied to the junction of Roman Road and Vulcan Way as cars frequently block this junction causing a hazard. Kind regards

Upton Lane:

Consultees	Comments
	To whom it concerns, I would like to express my concerns for the planned parking restrictions on Upton lane , from Abbeymead avenue to the M5 motorway bridge. While I recognise that parking opposite junctions along this road needs to be addressed as it is dangerous at times , this could be enforced with fixed penalty notices. I feel that restricting parking along the whole length will only encourage regular offenders to park in the side roads adjoining Upton lane which are already over congested with roadside and on pavement parking. Kind regards
	The proposal for no parking halfway down upton lane will cause more idiots to put their foot down and speed the other alternative aswell as double yellow lines is also to put clear signs for speed limit There is 1 other point i have to make if anyone gets injured or killed due to people speeding down upton lane will u take part responsibility?. Another solution is to put no parking between 08.15am to 09.00am and from 15.00pm until 16.00pm as this is the busiest time of the day
	Good evening I wish to add my comment to the proposed double yellow lines on Upton Lane. I won't object as know how the TRO system works having XXXXXX. I don't have the time for numerous emails, proof of ID and all of the other hoop jumping in the hope i will give up. In the xx or more years i have lived here not one vehicle has parked near these junctions. It can not be preventative action for something that has never happened with no reasonable change in the area to change things. This appears to be a scam

	and the maintenance of the lines a public money burden when they wear quickly. Assume you will use 50mm primrose lines which last a few months at best. The highway code prevents such parking but i know the police do not enforce which makes a mockery of the highway code. TRO is the mechanism for LAs to enforce but of course at this location they will hardly ever attend. I know you will come back and say it will be added to the enforcement officers list but we will not see them as nobody parks here at the proposal locations. Look forward to see the fresh painted lines when the consultation is over and the comments ignored. All the best.
--	--

Longborough Drive:

Consultees	Comments
	To Sir/Madam I received a letter regarding the new lines to be put down Longborough Drive Abbeymead. I am hoping this will go ahead as it is constant issues of parking on corners and obstruction, and having to wait for car/vans to move to be able to drive through. In addition to this problem, the white lines on all junctions have complete disappeared. This has made driving up/down Longborough Drive dangerous as cars/vans do not stop at the junction out of their Close, causing near misses daily! kind regards
	Reference 5227-105. I have just received a copy of your.. proposed restrictions within Longborough drive, exactly the same as previous submissions! I have written to you before to make you aware of the danger caused by vehicles parked between Abbeymead Avenue and Staunton Close which restrict the line of sight when exiting Staunton Close and create a danger when parking close to the Abbeymead Avenue junction. (the road is too narrow) The residents of nos x x x x have parking allocated in Staunton Close. Please get the double yellow lines ASAP.
	Dear Traffic Management Team,

	I would be grateful if you could ensure that your proposed red line markings also take into account the following provisions of the Highway Code: Rule 243 – Drivers must not stop or park opposite or within 10 metres (32 feet) of a junction. Rule 242 – Drivers must not leave a vehicle or trailer in a dangerous position or where it causes any unnecessary obstruction of the road. Vehicles currently parking opposite the exit of Staunton Close onto Longborough Drive, effectively at the T-junction, are causing unnecessary obstruction. This forces vehicles exiting Staunton Close to cross onto the incorrect side of the carriageway in order to complete their manoeuvre safely. I would appreciate it if this matter could be reviewed and addressed as part of your markings and enforcement considerations. Kind regards,
--	---

Stewarts Mill Lane:

Consultees	Comments
	Good morning, I'm emailing regarding the above reference in Stewarts Mill Lane. I am in support of the double yellows being put in place as cars parked along the road are a nightmare and in awkward spots (corners, junctions etc). The only thing I am concerned about is people deciding to park in private spaces instead, due to not being able to park on the main road, outside properties (52, 54, 56 and 58). People sometimes park in these spots to walk their dogs. Will there be signage (or similar) to advise people not to park here due to private driveway? Look forward to hearing from you. Kind Regards,
	These parking restrictions are long overdue. Looking forward to being able to exit our driveway safely. 100% support from me. Thank you
	Good afternoon, Received the notice of intended double yellow lines for Stewarts Mill Lane. As a resident of XXXXX I fully support these plans however feel there they do not go far enough. In particular, note there are no intended measures being put in place opposite Combrook close or to the left or right when exiting onto Stewarts Mill Lane. If a car is parked in any of these three places it makes visibility when exiting Combrook Close incredibly dangerous.

	I would welcome the lines being extended to the left and right, certainly opposite the junction itself where we are seeing an increasing number of cars being parked. No one lives along that part of Stewarts Mill Lane. All those vehicles parked belonging to residents in Damson Close as everyone in Combrook Close have multi-car drives. Would welcome any further conversation or happy to meet with someone to show them the areas of concern.
	Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing in response to the proposed yellow line markings on Stewart's Mill Lane to formally raise my objection. The suggestion that parking should be permitted opposite a junction is, in my view, inappropriate and raises safety concerns. Allowing vehicles to park in such a position could obstruct visibility and create unnecessary hazards for both drivers and pedestrians. I would instead suggest that any parking restrictions should apply opposite junctions to ensure clear sight-lines and safer access. I respectfully ask that this proposal be reconsidered in light of these concerns. Many thanks
	To Whom it May Concern We are objecting to your proposal to add double yellow lines to Stewarts Mill Lane (reference 5227 - 105). We are a 2 car household and only have an off road car parking space for 1 car. This applies to many households in the street. If you put in double yellow lines: - Where are we going to park our 2nd car? The whole street will be jostling for the very few areas that will not have double yellow lines. - Where are visitors then going to park? - Where are trade vehicles and delivery vans going to park?

	It is unfair to install double yellow lines now, as people have purchased these houses on the basis that there is on street parking. Would we have purchased these houses with double yellow lines in place? Unlikely! This proposal will reduce the market value of our properties. We would be much happier if all the professional fees and installation costs on this proposal were redirected to filling in the many and very dangerous pot holes! Kind regards
	To whom it may concern. I have been made aware of your proposal to introduce yellow lines on Stewart's mill lane in Abbeymead along with the roads that come off Stewart's mill. I for one park my XXXX on this stretch of road as to work restrictions, I cannot keep it at home. I always ensure that I do not block a junction or driveway when doing so. There is plenty of room for vehicles to get past, including emergency vehicles. I have never seen vehicles parked obstructing driveways/junctions or blocking the road preventing traffic to pass in all the years I have been parking there. With all newer estates and their designs, parking is minimal for residents leaving no option for when people visit houses to briefly park on the road. I have no issues with the concern over parking on junctions but to double yellow the majority of Stewart's mill I think is ludicrous and a complete waste of our money as tax payers. Not only is it a waste of money but aesthetically it will look horrid for what is currently a nice looking estate! As a tax payer and road user, I would much rather this money be used to repair the excessive amount of potholes we currently have in the city which will cause more issues for people financially than yellow lines or parking. Regards
	Hello, Please find my letter attached opposing the recent proposal for double yellow lines on Stewart's Mill Lane, Abbeymead. Please can you confirm receipt.

	Yours faithfully To whom it may concern, I am writing to formally object to the proposed installation of double yellow lines on Stewarts Mill Lane [ref: 5227-105] as part of the recent traffic regulation notification letter from ADL dated 5th February 2026. While I appreciate the intention to improve safety and traffic flow, I believe the introduction of double yellow lines in this location would create more problems than it solves. My concerns are as follows: • Loss of essential residential parking Parking in this area is already extremely limited. Removing on street parking would place significant pressure on residents, many of whom rely on these spaces due to the lack of driveways or alternative parking options. This would likely displace vehicles onto neighbouring streets, simply shifting the issue rather than resolving it. • No clear evidence of a safety or congestion problem To my knowledge, there have been no recorded accidents, near miss reports, or persistent congestion issues that justify such a restrictive measure. Residents have coexisted with the current parking arrangements for many years without incident. • Negative impact on residents' quality of life Double yellow lines would make it harder for residents, visitors, carers, and tradespeople to access homes. This is particularly concerning for elderly or less mobile residents who depend on close by parking. • A disproportionate response to occasional issues If the concern relates to occasional obstruction, there are less intrusive and more proportionate alternatives, such as: Marked parking bays Resident only parking permits or signage These options would address any specific issues without removing parking entirely. • Lack of meaningful consultation with residents As far as I am aware there has been no consultation with residents, other than the letter sent from ADL dated 5th February. A more collaborative approach would likely lead to a solution that balances safety with residents' needs. For these reasons, I respectfully request that Gloucestershire County Council and ADL reconsider the proposal and explore less disruptive alternatives that maintain essential parking provision while addressing any genuine safety concerns. I would welcome the opportunity to participate in further consultation or provide additional feedback if required. Yours faithfully,
--	--

Mead Road:

Consultees	Comments
	No Comments

The Wheatridge:

Consultees	Comments
	No Comments

General:

Consultees	Comments
	Dear Sir or Madam, Here are my comments on "Public notice, Gloucestershire County Council, On street parking order 2017 (various roads, Abbeydale, Abbeymead and Barnwood Wards) (City of Gloucester Order 2026). Reference 5227-105. I am not convinced that all of these measures would improve road safety or amenity in the specified areas. Unfortunately the people who park near to a junction will also park on double yellow lines. I agree that sight lines should be preserved and enhanced. This is particularly important for deaf people. A site visit may identify ways to improve sight lines. May I suggest to improve road safety and amenity that a speed limit of 20 mph be introduced at the head of all cul-de-sacs by placing road signs on the junctions of Abbeymead Avenue with Roman Road, Abbots Road, Mead Road, Longborough Drive, Stewart Mill Lane and the Wheatridge. Yours faithfully, xxxxxx xxxxxx