

Unemployment Bulletin

Issue 143 June 2020

Notes on Claimant Count (Experimental Statistics) from ONS:

These Claimant Count Experimental Statistics relate to 11 June 2020. Enhancements to Universal Credit as part of the UK government's response to the coronavirus (COVID-19) mean that an increasing number of people became eligible for unemployment-related benefit support, although still in work. Consequently changes in the Claimant Count will not be wholly because of changes in the number of people who are not in work. We are not able to identify to what extent people who are employed or unemployed have affected the numbers.

Contents

Part 1: Summary

A summary of unemployment data at district, County, regional and national level

Part 2: County

Unemployment figures for the County compared to the South West and the rest of the UK

Part 3: Districts

A comparative break down of unemployment figures by district

Part 4: Wards

Further breakdown of unemployment figures by ward:

Cheltenham

6

Cotswolds

8

Forest of Dean

10

Gloucester

12

Stroud

14

Tewkesbury

16

Part 5: Map

Distribution of claimant rate across Gloucestershire

Part 6: Youth Unemployment

Unemployment data for the 18-24 year old age group

Part 7: Young People

Data about Young People Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET)

20

21

The Claimant Count is a measure of the number of people who are unemployed and claiming benefits. From 1996 until the recent introduction of Universal Credit, this was based on the number of people claiming Jobseeker's Allowance. However, since its introduction some unemployment benefit claimants will be claiming Universal Credit instead. **To reflect this change, the Claimant Count has been expanded to include people claiming Jobseeker's Allowance plus those who claim Universal Credit and who are searching for work.**

Gloucestershire is in the process of transitioning to full Universal Credit. This means a broader span of claimants will be required to look for work than previously under Jobseekers Allowance. As more people are brought within the coverage of Universal Credit, the Claimant Count is set to rise noticeably over time. This will happen irrespective of how the economy performs and is a feature of the design of Universal Credit which brings additional groups of people into 'Searching for Work' conditionality (and therefore the Claimant Count), to help encourage and support these claimants into work.

For more information about Universal Credit please see the [ONS website](https://www.ons.gov.uk).

INTRODUCTION

The Unemployment Bulletin provides a monthly update on unemployment data for Gloucestershire, the South West region and the United Kingdom. It is produced by the Data & Analysis Team in the County Council's Planning, Performance and Change function. The data are sourced from the Office for National Statistics via Nomis (www.nomis.co.uk). All data are rounded to the nearest 5 and may not precisely add to the sum of the number of people claiming JSA, and the number of people claiming Universal Credit required to seek work, due to independent rounding.

PART 1: SUMMARY

The claimant rate in Gloucestershire was 4.7% in June 2020. The South West and UK rates decreased to 5.2% and 6.3% respectively. Gloucestershire's rate was 0.5% lower than the South West average of 5.2%, and 1.6% lower than the UK average of 6.3%.

The claimant count in Gloucestershire in June 2020 decreased by 555 people. The amount of people claiming in June 2020 was 3.0% lower than the number in May 2020. This compares to a 3.9% decrease in the South West and a 1.3% decrease in the UK.

Produced by:

Data & Analysis Team

Planning, Performance and Change
Gloucestershire County Council
July 2020

DISTRICTS AT A GLANCE

At a glance unemployment information grouped by district. Includes:

Claim count: Total number of people claiming Job Seekers Allowance and Universal Credit who are not in work in June 2020

Compared to last month: How much larger/smaller June's claimant count is compared to May expressed as a %

Claimant rate: The % of working age population claiming Job Seekers Allowance and Universal Credit who are not in work in June 2020

Highest claiming ward: The ward with the highest claim count in that district and the rate

CHEL滕HAM BOROUGH

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Claim count: | 3,705 |
| Compared to last month: | -2.1% |
| Claimant rate: | 5.0% |
| Highest claiming ward | Hesters Way (8.1%) |

GLOUCESTER CITY

| | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Claim count: | 4,785 |
| Compared to last month: | -0.6% |
| Claimant rate: | 5.9% |
| Highest claiming wards | Barton and Tredworth (11.0%) |

COTSWOLD DISTRICT

| | |
|-------------------------|------------------|
| Claim count: | 2,065 |
| Compared to last month: | -7.6% |
| Claimant rate: | 4.0% |
| Highest claiming ward | Watermoor (6.8%) |

STROUD DISTRICT

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Claim count: | 2,865 |
| Compared to last month: | -1.5% |
| Claimant rate: | 4.0% |
| Highest claiming wards | Slade (8.0%) |

FOREST OF DEAN

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Claim count: | 2,420 |
| Compared to last month: | -3.2% |
| Claimant rate: | 4.7% |
| Highest claiming ward | Lydney East (8.0%) |

TEWKESBURY BOROUGH

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Claim count: | 2,280 |
| Compared to last month: | -6.0% |
| Claimant rate: | 4.2% |
| Highest claiming ward | Tewkesbury Prior's Park (6.1%) |

PART 2: UK, SW AND GLOUCESTERSHIRE INDICATORS

The unemployment situation in Gloucestershire compared to the South West and the UK.

Claimant Rate

Figure 1: Claimant Rate for Gloucestershire, the South West and UK over the past year




Figure 1 shows the claimant rate for Gloucestershire at 4.7% in June 2020. The Gloucestershire rate has been lower than the South West average since December 2015, with the exception of April and May 2016 when it was the same. It has been persistently lower by approximately 1.1% against the UK average.

Claimant Count

Table 1: Analysis of UK, South West and Gloucestershire Claimant Counts

| Area | Claimant Count in June 2020 | % change on May 2020 | Consecutive months increasing |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| UK | 2,625,475 | -1.3% | 0 |
| South West | 176,105 | -3.9% | 0 |
| Gloucestershire | 18,120 | -3.0% | 0 |

Table 1 shows that in June 2020, the number of claimants in Gloucestershire was 3.0% lower than the number in May 2020.

PART 3: LOCAL AUTHORITY DISTRICT ANALYSIS

Claimant Rate and Claimant Count

Figure 2: Claimant Rate (%) across Gloucestershire - June 2020




Figure 2 shows the claimant rate for June 2020 in Gloucestershire. Between May 2020 and June 2020 the rate decreased in all districts except Gloucester which stayed the same.

Table 2: Analysis of Gloucestershire and District Claimant Counts

| Total | Jun 20 Number | Jun 20 Rate | May 20 Number | May 20 Rate | Monthly change | % monthly change | Monthly change of rate |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Gloucestershire | 18,120 | 4.7 | 18,675 | 4.9 | -555 | -3.0% | -0.2 |
| Cheltenham | 3,705 | 5.0 | 3,785 | 5.1 | -80 | -2.1% | -0.1 |
| Cotswold | 2,065 | 4.0 | 2,235 | 4.3 | -170 | -7.6% | -0.3 |
| Forest of Dean | 2,420 | 4.7 | 2,500 | 4.9 | -80 | -3.2% | -0.2 |
| Gloucester | 4,785 | 5.9 | 4,815 | 5.9 | -30 | -0.6% | 0.0 |
| Stroud | 2,865 | 4.0 | 2,910 | 4.1 | -45 | -1.5% | -0.1 |
| Tewkesbury | 2,280 | 4.2 | 2,425 | 4.4 | -145 | -6.0% | -0.2 |

Table 2 shows the change in the claimant count in June 2020 compared to May 2020. All districts saw a decrease in the number of claimants.

Table 3: Analysis of Male Gloucestershire and District Claimant Counts

| Male | Jun 20 Number | Jun 20 Rate | May 20 Number | May 20 Rate | Monthly change | % monthly change | Monthly change of rate |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Gloucestershire | 10,880 | 5.7 | 11,320 | 5.9 | -440 | -3.9% | -0.2 |
| Cheltenham | 2,255 | 6.1 | 2,315 | 6.3 | -60 | -2.6% | -0.2 |
| Cotswold | 1,155 | 4.6 | 1,265 | 5.0 | -110 | -8.7% | -0.4 |
| Forest of Dean | 1,430 | 5.6 | 1,480 | 5.8 | -50 | -3.4% | -0.2 |
| Gloucester | 3,020 | 7.4 | 3,100 | 7.6 | -80 | -2.6% | -0.2 |
| Stroud | 1,680 | 4.8 | 1,720 | 4.9 | -40 | -2.3% | -0.1 |
| Tewkesbury | 1,335 | 4.9 | 1,440 | 5.3 | -105 | -7.3% | -0.4 |

Table 3 shows the change in the male claimant count in June 2020 compared to May 2020. All districts saw a decrease in the number of male claimants.

Table 4: Analysis of Female Gloucestershire and District Claimant Counts

| Female | Jun 20 Number | Jun 20 Rate | May 20 Number | May 20 Rate | Monthly change | % monthly change | Monthly change of rate |
|------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Gloucestershire | 7,240 | 3.7 | 7,355 | 3.8 | -115 | -1.6% | -0.1 |
| Cheltenham | 1,450 | 3.9 | 1,470 | 4.0 | -20 | -1.4% | -0.1 |
| Cotswold | 905 | 3.4 | 975 | 3.7 | -70 | -7.2% | -0.3 |
| Forest of Dean | 990 | 3.8 | 1,015 | 3.9 | -25 | -2.5% | -0.1 |
| Gloucester | 1,765 | 4.3 | 1,715 | 4.2 | 50 | 2.9% | 0.1 |
| Stroud | 1,180 | 3.3 | 1,190 | 3.3 | -10 | -0.8% | 0.0 |
| Tewkesbury | 945 | 3.4 | 990 | 3.5 | -45 | -4.5% | -0.1 |

Table 4 shows the change in the female claimant count in June 2020 compared to May 2020. All districts saw a decrease in the number of female claimants except Gloucester which saw an increase.

PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY DISTRICT AND WARD ANALYSIS

This section covers the six districts and a comparison of their constituent wards.

4.1 Cheltenham Borough

Claimant Rate and Claimant Count

Figure 3: Cheltenham Borough claimant rate over the last year




Figure 3 shows the claimant rate in Cheltenham decreased to 5.0% in June 2020.

Figure 4: Claimant rates across Cheltenham Borough wards - June 2020




Figure 4 shows the claimant rates for Cheltenham Borough wards. The red line represents the average claimant rate across the Borough of 5.0%. Eight wards lie above the average.

PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY DISTRICT AND WARD ANALYSIS

4.1 Cheltenham Borough continued...

Table 5: Analysis of Claimant Count at Cheltenham Borough ward level - June 2020

| District | Ward | Rate | Rank* |
|------------|--------------------------|------|-------|
| Cheltenham | Charlton Park | 2.6 | 7 |
| Cheltenham | Leckhampton | 2.6 | 7 |
| Cheltenham | Prestbury | 2.7 | 10 |
| Cheltenham | Charlton Kings | 2.9 | 18 |
| Cheltenham | Benhall and The Reddings | 3.2 | 30 |
| Cheltenham | Up Hatherley | 3.3 | 35 |
| Cheltenham | Battledown | 3.4 | 43 |
| Cheltenham | Park | 3.4 | 43 |
| Cheltenham | Warden Hill | 3.7 | 55 |
| Cheltenham | Lansdown | 4.1 | 77 |
| Cheltenham | College | 4.6 | 96 |
| Cheltenham | Pittville | 4.7 | 101 |
| Cheltenham | All Saints | 5.2 | 111 |
| Cheltenham | Swindon Village | 6.0 | 126 |
| Cheltenham | St Peter's | 6.1 | 129 |
| Cheltenham | Springbank | 6.6 | 131 |
| Cheltenham | St Paul's | 7.2 | 137 |
| Cheltenham | St Mark's | 7.6 | 138 |
| Cheltenham | Oakley | 7.8 | 139 |
| Cheltenham | Hesters Way | 8.1 | 143 |

lowest 10% of county
 first quartile of county
 second quartile of county
 third quartile of county
 fourth quartile of county
 highest 10% of county

* 1 = "best" and 146 = "worst"

Table 5 shows overall claimant rates for Cheltenham Borough wards and their position relative to all wards in Gloucestershire.

PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY DISTRICT AND WARD ANALYSIS

4.2 Cotswold District

Claimant Rate and Claimant Count

Figure 5: Cotswold District claimant rate over the last year




Figure 5 shows the claimant rate in Cotswold District decreased to 4.0% in June 2020.

Figure 6: Claimant rates across Cotswold District wards - June 2020





Figure 6 shows the claimant rates for Cotswold District wards. The red line represents the average claimant rate across the District of 4.0%. Eleven wards lie above the average.

PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY DISTRICT AND WARD ANALYSIS

4.2 Cotswold District continued...

Table 6: Analysis of Claimant Count at Cotswold District ward level - June 2020

| District | Ward | Rate | Rank* |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|------|-------|
| Cotswolds | Northleach | 2.6 | 7 |
| Cotswolds | Coin Valley | 2.7 | 10 |
| Cotswolds | Stratton | 2.8 | 14 |
| Cotswolds | Four Acres | 2.9 | 18 |
| Cotswolds | Grumbolds Ash with Avening | 3.0 | 22 |
| Cotswolds | Blockley | 3.1 | 28 |
| Cotswolds | Chedworth & Churn Valley | 3.2 | 30 |
| Cotswolds | The Ampneys & Hampton | 3.3 | 35 |
| Cotswolds | Ermin | 3.4 | 43 |
| Cotswolds | Fosseridge | 3.5 | 48 |
| Cotswolds | Tetbury East & Rural | 3.6 | 50 |
| Cotswolds | The Rissingtons | 3.7 | 55 |
| Cotswolds | Abbey | 3.8 | 60 |
| Cotswolds | Kemble | 3.8 | 60 |
| Cotswolds | South Cerney Village | 3.8 | 60 |
| Cotswolds | Bourton Vale | 3.9 | 65 |
| Cotswolds | Lechlade, Kempsford & Fairford South | 3.9 | 65 |
| Cotswolds | Moreton West | 3.9 | 65 |
| Cotswolds | Campden & Vale | 4.0 | 73 |
| Cotswolds | Siddington & Cerney Rural | 4.0 | 73 |
| Cotswolds | Tetbury with Upton | 4.0 | 73 |
| Cotswolds | Chesterton | 4.3 | 84 |
| Cotswolds | Fairford North | 4.3 | 84 |
| Cotswolds | Sandywell | 4.4 | 86 |
| Cotswolds | The Beeches | 4.5 | 91 |
| Cotswolds | Tetbury Town | 4.6 | 96 |
| Cotswolds | St Michael's | 4.8 | 104 |
| Cotswolds | Moreton East | 4.9 | 106 |
| Cotswolds | New Mills | 5.2 | 111 |
| Cotswolds | Stow | 5.2 | 111 |
| Cotswolds | Bourton Village | 5.5 | 118 |
| Cotswolds | Watermoor | 6.8 | 133 |


 lowest 10% of county
 first quartile of county
 second quartile of county
 third quartile of county
 fourth quartile of county
 highest 10% of county

* 1 = "best" and 146 = "worst"

Table 6 shows overall claimant rates for Cotswold District wards and their position relative to all wards in Gloucestershire.

PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY DISTRICT AND WARD ANALYSIS

4.3 Forest of Dean District

Claimant Rate and Claimant Count

Figure 7: Forest of Dean District claimant rate over the last year




Figure 7 shows the claimant rate in the Forest of Dean decreased to 4.7% in June 2020.

Figure 8: Claimant rates across Forest of Dean District wards - June 2020





Figure 8 shows the claimant rates for the Forest of Dean District wards. The red line represents the average claimant rate across the District of 4.7%. Twelve wards lie above the average.

PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY DISTRICT AND WARD ANALYSIS

4.3 Forest of Dean District continued...

Table 7: Analysis of Claimant Count at Forest of Dean District ward level - June 2020

| District | Ward | Rate | Rank* |
|----------|--------------------------------------|------|-------|
| Forest | Hartpury | 1.4 | 1 |
| Forest | Hewelsfield and Woolaston | 2.2 | 3 |
| Forest | Churcham and Huntley | 2.5 | 6 |
| Forest | Tibberton | 3.0 | 22 |
| Forest | Blaisdon and Longhope | 3.3 | 35 |
| Forest | Newnham and Westbury | 3.3 | 35 |
| Forest | Tidenham | 3.3 | 35 |
| Forest | Lydney North | 3.5 | 48 |
| Forest | Redmarley | 3.9 | 65 |
| Forest | Christchurch and English Bicknor | 4.0 | 73 |
| Forest | Bromesberrow and Dymock | 4.1 | 77 |
| Forest | Mitcheldean and Drybrook | 4.4 | 86 |
| Forest | Berry Hill | 4.5 | 91 |
| Forest | Newland and St Briavels | 4.5 | 91 |
| Forest | Bream | 4.6 | 96 |
| Forest | Coleford East | 5.0 | 107 |
| Forest | Lydbrook and Ruardean | 5.1 | 109 |
| Forest | Pillowell | 5.1 | 109 |
| Forest | Newent Central | 5.2 | 111 |
| Forest | Oxenhall and Newent North East | 5.2 | 111 |
| Forest | Awre | 5.3 | 116 |
| Forest | Cinderford East | 5.5 | 118 |
| Forest | Littledean and Ruspidge | 5.5 | 118 |
| Forest | Coleford Central | 5.7 | 121 |
| Forest | Cinderford West | 5.8 | 124 |
| Forest | Alvington, Aylburton and West Lydney | 6.0 | 126 |
| Forest | Lydney East | 8.0 | 141 |



* 1 = "best" and 146 = "worst"

Table 7 shows overall claimant rates for the Forest of Dean District wards and their position relative to all wards in Gloucestershire.


PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY DISTRICT AND WARD ANALYSIS**4.4 Gloucester City****Claimant Rate and Claimant Count***Figure 9: Gloucester City claimant rate over the last year*

Figure 9 shows the claimant rate for Gloucester City remained at 5.9% in June 2020.


Figure 10: Claimant rates across Gloucester City wards - June 2020

Figure 10 shows the claimant rates for Gloucester City district wards. The red line represents the average claimant rate across the City of 5.9%. Six wards lie above the average.

PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY DISTRICT AND WARD ANALYSIS

4.4 Gloucester City continued...

Table 8: Analysis of Claimant Count at Gloucester City ward level - June 2020

| District | Ward | Rate | Rank* |
|------------|-----------------------|------|-------|
| Gloucester | Hucclecote | 2.7 | 10 |
| Gloucester | Longlevens | 2.9 | 18 |
| Gloucester | Elmbridge | 3.2 | 30 |
| Gloucester | Abbey | 3.4 | 43 |
| Gloucester | Quedgeley Fieldcourt | 3.6 | 50 |
| Gloucester | Quedgeley Severn Vale | 3.9 | 65 |
| Gloucester | Grange | 4.4 | 86 |
| Gloucester | Barnwood | 5.0 | 107 |
| Gloucester | Tuffley | 5.8 | 124 |
| Gloucester | Podsmead | 7.0 | 134 |
| Gloucester | Moreland | 7.1 | 136 |
| Gloucester | Kingsholm and Wotton | 7.8 | 139 |
| Gloucester | Matson and Robinswood | 8.1 | 143 |
| Gloucester | Westgate | 10.1 | 145 |
| Gloucester | Barton and Tredworth | 11.0 | 146 |

lowest 10% of county
 first quartile of county
 second quartile of county
 third quartile of county
 fourth quartile of county
 highest 10% of county

* 1 = "best" and 146 = "worst"

Table 8 shows overall claimant rates for Gloucester City wards and their position relative to all wards in Gloucestershire.

PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY DISTRICT AND WARD ANALYSIS

4.5 Stroud District

Claimant Rate and Claimant Count

Figure 11: Stroud District claimant rate over the last year




Figure 11 shows the claimant rate for Stroud decreased to 4.0% in June 2020.

Figure 12: Claimant rates across Stroud District wards - June 2020




Figure 12 shows the claimant rates in Stroud District wards. The red line represents the average claimant rate across the District of 4.0%. Twelve wards are above the average.

PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY AND DISTRICT ANALYSIS

4.5 Stroud District continued...

Table 9: Analysis of Claimant Count at Stroud District ward level - June 2020

| District | Ward | Rate | Rank* |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------|-------|
| Stroud | Kingswood | 2.0 | 2 |
| Stroud | Randwick, Whiteshill and Ruscombe | 2.3 | 4 |
| Stroud | Coaley and Uley | 2.8 | 14 |
| Stroud | Vale | 2.8 | 14 |
| Stroud | Amberley and Woodchester | 3.0 | 22 |
| Stroud | Bisley | 3.0 | 22 |
| Stroud | Chalford | 3.0 | 22 |
| Stroud | Severn | 3.0 | 22 |
| Stroud | Eastington and Standish | 3.1 | 28 |
| Stroud | Painswick | 3.2 | 30 |
| Stroud | Wotton-under-Edge | 3.2 | 30 |
| Stroud | Cam East | 3.3 | 35 |
| Stroud | Minchinhampton | 3.6 | 50 |
| Stroud | Thrupp | 3.6 | 50 |
| Stroud | The Stanleys | 3.8 | 60 |
| Stroud | Upton St Leonards | 3.8 | 60 |
| Stroud | Cainscross | 3.9 | 65 |
| Stroud | Rodborough | 3.9 | 65 |
| Stroud | Stonehouse | 4.1 | 77 |
| Stroud | Berkeley | 4.2 | 81 |
| Stroud | Hardwicke | 4.2 | 81 |
| Stroud | Cam West | 4.4 | 86 |
| Stroud | Dursley | 4.5 | 91 |
| Stroud | Uplands | 4.6 | 96 |
| Stroud | Nailsworth | 4.7 | 101 |
| Stroud | Farmhill and Paganhill | 5.7 | 121 |
| Stroud | Trinity | 6.0 | 126 |
| Stroud | Central | 6.6 | 131 |
| Stroud | Valley | 7.0 | 134 |
| Stroud | Slade | 8.0 | 141 |

- lowest 10% of county
- first quartile of county
- second quartile of county
- third quartile of county
- fourth quartile of county
- highest 10% of county

* 1 = "best" and 146 = "worst"

Table 9 shows overall claimant rates for Stroud District wards and their position relative to all wards in Gloucestershire.

PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY DISTRICT AND WARD ANALYSIS

4.6 Tewkesbury Borough

Claimant Rate and Claimant Count

Figure 13: Tewkesbury Borough claimant rate over the last year




Figure 13 shows the claimant rate for Tewkesbury Borough decreased to 4.2% in June 2020.

Figure 14: Claimant rates across Tewkesbury Borough wards - June 2020





Figure 14 shows the claimant rates in Tewkesbury Borough wards. The red line represents the average claimant rate across the District of 4.2%. Eight wards are above the average.

PART 4: LOCAL AUTHORITY AND DISTRICT ANALYSIS

4.6 Tewkesbury Borough continued...

Table 10: Analysis of Claimant Count at Tewkesbury Borough level - June 2020

| District | Ward | Rate | Rank* |
|------------|-------------------------------|------|-------|
| Tewkesbury | Isbourne | 2.4 | 5 |
| Tewkesbury | Cleeve Hill | 2.7 | 10 |
| Tewkesbury | Churchdown Brookfield | 2.8 | 14 |
| Tewkesbury | Cleeve Grange | 2.9 | 18 |
| Tewkesbury | Badgeworth | 3.3 | 35 |
| Tewkesbury | Twynning | 3.3 | 35 |
| Tewkesbury | Innsworth with Down Hatherley | 3.4 | 43 |
| Tewkesbury | Hucclecote | 3.6 | 50 |
| Tewkesbury | Churchdown St John's | 3.7 | 55 |
| Tewkesbury | Cleeve West | 3.7 | 55 |
| Tewkesbury | Tewkesbury Newtown | 3.7 | 55 |
| Tewkesbury | Ashchurch with Walton Cardiff | 3.9 | 65 |
| Tewkesbury | Highnam with Haw Bridge | 4.1 | 77 |
| Tewkesbury | Winchcombe | 4.2 | 81 |
| Tewkesbury | Oxenton Hill | 4.4 | 86 |
| Tewkesbury | Coombe Hill | 4.5 | 91 |
| Tewkesbury | Tewkesbury Town with Mitton | 4.6 | 96 |
| Tewkesbury | Brockworth | 4.7 | 101 |
| Tewkesbury | Northway | 4.8 | 104 |
| Tewkesbury | Cleeve St Michael's | 5.4 | 117 |
| Tewkesbury | Shurdington | 5.7 | 121 |
| Tewkesbury | Tewkesbury Prior's Park | 6.1 | 129 |




* 1 = "best" and 146 = "worst"


Table 10 shows overall claimant rates for Tewkesbury Borough wards and their position relative to all wards in Gloucestershire.

PART 5: MAP SHOWING DISTRIBUTION OF CLAIMANT RATE ACROSS GLOUCESTERSHIRE



Claimant Count Rate by LSOA in Gloucestershire, June 2020



LSOA = Lower Super Output Area.
Equates to a population of about 1,600.
There are 373 LSOAs in Gloucestershire.



PART 5: MAP SHOWING DISTRIBUTION OF CLAIMANT RATE ACROSS GLOUCESTERSHIRE



PART 6: YOUTH UNEMPLOYMENT(18-24 year olds)

Youth Claimant Rate and Claimant Count

Figure 15: Claimant Count for Gloucestershire over the past year




Figure 15 shows that in June 2020, 3,605 people aged 18-24 were claiming Job Seekers Allowance and Universal Credit who were not in work in Gloucestershire, this represents 19.9% of total working age claimants.

PART 7: YOUNG PEOPLE NOT IN EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT OR TRAINING (NEET) IN GLOUCESTERSHIRE

Data provided by Gloucestershire Youth Support Team on the situation of young people (16-18) in Gloucestershire who are not in education, employment or formal training.

Gloucestershire Youth Support Team is required to report performance with the 16-18 age range against nationally defined criteria for counting the NEET group. The NEET group includes young people actively seeking education, employment or training as well as those young people who are either not yet ready or who are unable to access these opportunities because of their personal circumstances. The figures quoted below include young people in both of these categories.

Summary

At the end of June 2020 there were 378 young people aged 16-18 not in education, employment or training in Gloucestershire and this figure translates into an adjusted (*) 16-18 NEET population of 2.82%. The total number of NEET young people increased by 3 in June 2020 compared to May 2020, two districts saw a increase in their figures, two districts saw a decrease and two districts stayed the same.

Total NEET Group by District Area

June 2020 NEET data

| | Cheltenham | Cotswold | Forest | Gloucester | Stroud | Tewkesbury | Total |
|-------------------|------------|----------|--------|------------|--------|------------|-------|
| Total NEET | 66 | 35 | 57 | 122 | 45 | 53 | 378 |
| Cohort | 2413 | 1904 | 1789 | 3179 | 2746 | 1968 | 13999 |
| % NEET | 2.74 | 1.84 | 3.19 | 3.84 | 1.64 | 2.69 | 2.82* |

If you would like to receive any further information on Gloucestershire's NEET strategy then please contact Lynne.Speak@Prospects.co.uk or telephone 07900 391182.

(*) Adjustment includes a proportion of young people aged 16-18 whose outcomes are not known to Gloucestershire Youth Support Team.