

**DR08 – CROW Committee Meeting 2 June 2026 - Transcript of Chairpersons’
summing up as follows:**

Okay, moving on to debate on this, I'll kick off with my thoughts.

So we have documentary evidence is insufficient on its own to establish dedication of the claimed route.

Consultations were inconclusive.

Only evidence from 8 of the 11 users can be accepted and only two claimed use over the entire qualifying period.

As set in section 10.2, this doesn't constitute use by the public, and the permissive signs are considered evidence of the landowner's lack of intention to dedicate the claimed route.

So therefore, I do agree with the officer's concluding statements personally.

Looking at all the legalities, looking at all the evidence, I would come to the same conclusion as the officers and that no order is to be made to add length of footpath along based on all the evidence that has been set out in the report.

END.