

HARRIS, Jaci

From: BOLTON, Joanne
Sent: 16 December 2024 07:41
To: BONSER, Clare; HARRIS, Jaci; HAWORTH, Julie; HOULDEY, Andrew; PEARMAN, Karen; HEAL, Joanne; BROWN, Jemma
Subject: FW: OBJECTION - MST28 - Commons & Rights of Way Committee Meeting, 10-12-24

Dear all,

Please see Linda Maiik's email below. I have acknowledged receipt.

Kind regards
Jo

Joanne Bolton
Democratic Services Adviser

Gloucestershire County Council
Block 3, Floor 2, Shire Hall, Westgate Street, Gloucester GL1 2TG
Tel: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
www.gloucestershire.gov.uk

From: Spring Valley Orchard [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 December 2024 16:17
To: BOLTON, Joanne [REDACTED]
Cc: Windmill [REDACTED]; J Godsell [REDACTED]; HARRIS, Jaci <Jaci.HARRIS@gloucestershire.gov.uk>
Subject: OBJECTION - MST28 - Commons & Rights of Way Committee Meeting, 10-12-24

Dear Jo,

Further to my email of 9th December at 12.36/12.37, I retract my support for agenda item 8 & object to the decision by the committee's in relation to MST28 in its entirety for reasons below:

~~3. SUPPORT~~

~~* I support Agenda item 8, THE DELETION OF PUBLIC FOOTPATH MST28, STONEHOUSE PARISH, GLOUCESTERSHIRE.~~

Agenda Item 8: OBJECT

My support was for the "DELETION OF PROW MST28" in its entirety, as stated on the Agenda; MST28 was a drafting error, registered in error without any intention to dedicate this as a highway, impassable at time of registration.

The evidence supporting the deletion of a part of the route **applies to the whole of the route, not a part.**

I do **not** support the deletion of a part of it only, leaving a part where the public have never walked, it was not applied for, & the only reason for leaving a section where the public have still never walked is on the "off chance" that the section that goes nowhere *might* join another path in the vicinity (applied for route, P-Q), which evidence clearly shows was a path formed during covid lockdown (2020s), **if** that covid path were to be approved in 20 or 30 years, an unused path near where the police have several investigations open for criminal damage corresponding to application 573/11/212(11); as GCC are aware, the applicant & supporters have extensively vandalised my land to form the routes applied for.

ie. The historic evidence set out by the officer for the deletion of MST28 and supported by maps demonstrates:

- drafting error in the registration of of MST28; MST28 was obstructed and impassable from the 1920s; but was registered in error in the 1950s over 30 years after it was impassable;
The evidence clearly show the registration of an impassable, superseded line was a drafting error;
- MST62 was negotiated and agreed in place of MST28 in its entirety; The route MST62 serves to allow the public to walk between MST27 to MST30;
- clear evidence supports intention to dedicate one footpath highway between the routes now known as MST27 and MST30;

The historic evidence and paperwork presented clearly confirms the right was never intended to dedicate 2 paths and that it was agreed that MST62 replaced MST28.

Yours sincerely,

Linda Maiik

On Mon, 9 Dec 2024 at 13:01, BOLTON, Joanne [REDACTED] wrote:

Thank you, Linda. I acknowledge receipt of your email.

Kind regards

Jo

Joanne Bolton
Democratic Services Adviser

Gloucestershire County Council
Block 3, Floor 2, Shire Hall, Westgate Street, Gloucester GL1 2TG

Tel: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: Spring Valley Orchard [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 December 2024 12:37
To: BOLTON, Joanne <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Windmill <[REDACTED]>; J Godsell <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Commons & Rights of Way Committee Meeting, 10th December 2024

Dear Jo,

Re: Commons & Rights of Way Committee Meeting, 10th December 2024

1. FORMAL OBJECTION TO NEW ROUTES

Please accept this as my **formal objection to any or all new routes Ordered tomorrow** as follows:

* Agenda item 6. ... LAND ADJACENT TO BRAMBLE LANE, STONEHOUSE PARISH, GLOUCESTERSHIRE (ref:573/11/212(6)(7)(8)(9)(10))

* Agenda item 7: ... FROM OAK WAY TO MST30, STONEHOUSE PARISH, GLOUCESTERSHIRE

2. If you have a formal procedure, please provide relevant documentation..

~~3. SUPPORT~~

~~* I support Agenda item 8, THE DELETION OF PUBLIC FOOTPATH MST28, STONEHOUSE PARISH, GLOUCESTERSHIRE.~~

4. FUTURE FORMAL OBJECTION to 573/11/212(11)

Please also accept this as advance formal objection to Michael Giles' "Friends of Verney Fields" Application 573/11/212(11) for freshly vandalised routes across my land, for which police

investigations and prosecutions are ongoing and the newly vandalised routes post-date my deposition under the Highways Act.

Thank you for your kind attention

Yours sincerely,

Linda Maiik